

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Review of DCID No. 11/2

May 59

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation for Director of Central Intelligence approval. Such recommendation is contained in paragraph (8).

2. DCID 11/2 was issued in November 1954 and, in effect, has been regarded by the Intelligence Community as a directive for CIA documents. The Department of Army, in AR 381-1, introduces the subject of document control by citing that whenever "the CIA or other IAC member agencies deems it necessary to provide for control of intelligence or intelligence information, the appropriate control phrase or phrases will appear on the document." The regulation then cites the control stamps by stipulating that such stamps are not authorized for application on matters originated by the Army, with the exception that Army documents to be withheld from foreign nationals will carry a special handling notice such as, "Special Handling Required, Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals".

3. The Navy Security Manual does not mention or apply the provisions of DCID 11/2. An ONI Internal Instruction dated 3 March 1955 sets forth the provisions of DCID 11/2 but concludes that "NOFORN", "Continued Control", "Background Use Only", and "For Official Use Only", are the only control phrases authorized for use on documents originated in the ONI.

4. Air Force regulations contain no reference to the provision of DCID 11/2. Air Force did, however, release a memorandum in July 1955 to subordinate components setting forth the control phrases of DCID 11/2 and explaining that these controls are binding on Air Force users of CIA documents.

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

SECRET

SUBJECT: Review of DCID No. 11/2

5. In the absence of full adoption of DCID 11/2, it is felt that a great deal of misunderstanding has developed in the interpretation and application of this directive. The situation is further aggravated by the dissemination of intelligence information outside of the Intelligence Community into the Military Service command channels and to other non-intelligence components of Government. The usefulness of DCID 11/2 control stamps under these circumstances is considerably limited.

6. It is not known why the Services have failed to uniformly adopt this directive but again there appears to be a general attitude that this is a directive designed for CIA document control. The lack of uniformity in adoption of control markings affects the dissemination of intelligence documents both within the departments and agencies and the further dissemination to contract private industry. It is further felt that this problem is a contributive factor to the series of unauthorized disclosures of intelligence information that have occurred in the past several years.

7. It is believed that a review of DCID 11/2 is in order with a viewpoint of producing a directive suitable to the present system of operations of the Intelligence Community and one which will be uniformly adopted by the Community. It is further believed that the Security Committee of the USIB should be given the responsibility for the revision of this directive to produce an acceptable directive for the benefit of the Community or at least surface the problems and attitudes of the other members of the Community which prevent the universal adoption of such a directive.

8. It is recommended that the DCI approve the attached memorandum to the USIB proposing revision of DCID 11/2 by the Security Committee.

SIGNED


Deputy Director of Security

25X1

Attachment

SECRET

SECRET

CONCURRENCES

[redacted]
Deputy Director (Plans)

13 MAY 1959
Date

(signed) H. Gates Lloyd

A- Deputy Director (Support)

15 MAY 1959
Date

[redacted]
Deputy Director (Intelligence)

20 MAY 1959
Date

/s/ [redacted]
for Deputy Director (Coordination)
*subject to attached comment

21 May 1959
Date

The recommendation in paragraph (8) is approved to the extent that [redacted] as Chairman of the Security Committee is hereby requested to proceed with the review of 11/2."

21 May 1959
Date

/s/ C. P. Cabell

Acting Director

of
Central Intelligence

Distribution:

Orig. - OS w/act
1 - OSI w/act
1 - OSOI w/act
✓ 1 - ER w/act
1 - ODC w/act
1 - DOT w/act
1 - ODI w/act
1 - DDP w/act

SECRET

21 May 1959

1. Concur that DCID 11/2 should be reviewed by the Security Committee -- and that it is desirable that this DCID be "referred" formally to the Committee, to strengthen the Committee Chairman's hand in approaching this controversial directive.

2. It is recommended, however, that the Director bring up this matter orally at an early Board meeting rather than by circulating a formal memorandum which may unduly emphasize the possibility of difficulty. It is suggested that the DCI simply mention that all the outstanding DCIDs have already been revised -- and that the work of developing new DCIDs have already been revised -- and that the work of developing new DCIDs for the COMINT and ELINT fields is progressing in the responsible committees except for DCID 11/2 "Control of Dissemination and Use of Intelligence and Intelligence Information." The Director might then indicate that he considers the new Security Committee and appropriate Intelligence Board body to revise this directive and ask the other members to agree in referring it to that Committee.

/s/ 25X1
for the
Deputy Director (Coordination)

as are under revision

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2003/07/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R004300170036-0

Approved For Release 2003/07/29 : CIA-RDP80B01676R004300170036-0

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		INITIALS	DATE	
1	DDCI		ef/lee	21 May	
2					
3	(CFR COPY)				
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
<p>Remarks:</p> <p>Technically, the IAC action of 21 January 1958 -- among the actions reaffirm by the first meeting of the Board on 19 September 1958 -- is all the authorization the Security Committee should need.</p> <p>agrees that an oral statement the Director will meet his purposes quit as well as a circulated document formal approved by the Board.</p> <p>/s/ []</p> <p>* 2: For our conversation.</p> <p>/s/ J. W. CLARKE</p>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.					DATE
[]					21 May 58
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	